

Train City from
Assmt. Bonds

(Continued From Page One)

If any they have, why they not be enjoined and restrained from issuing to the contractors a warrant of assessment and also why should not be restrained from issuing the issuance of assessment bonds for the paving of Arlington Avenue and Carson Street in the City of Torrance, until such as the said contractors shall have to the said city of Torrance good and substantial paved highways such respective streets as hereinafter named.

That in the meantime and until the order of the Court, you, the defendants, are restrained and enjoined from issuing to the contractors a warrant of assessment or issuance of assessment bonds for the paving of Arlington Avenue and Carson Street in the said city of Torrance, until such as the said contractors shall have to the said city of Torrance good and substantial paved highways such respective streets as hereinafter named.

That the Los Angeles Paving Co., a corporation duly formed, existing and doing business under the laws of the State of California, having its principal place of business at Los Angeles in said state of California, and the contractors for making the improvements hereinafter referred to by the said officers of the city of Torrance let to said Los Angeles Paving Company.

That James M. Fitzhugh, W. H. Gilbert, E. H. Nash, Joe Stone and A. Froctor, constitute the duly elected, qualified and acting board of trustees of such municipal corporation and James M. Fitzhugh is the duly elected, qualified and acting president of such board of trustees. That Albert H. Bartlett at all times herein mentioned has been and now is the duly appointed, qualified and acting street superintendent of said municipal corporation.

That within the jurisdictional boundaries of such municipal corporation, there are two streets and highways, one known as Arlington Avenue and the other as Carson Street and in their intersection these streets connect, the one with the other.

That at all times herein mentioned the plaintiff has been and now is the owner of real property within said city of Torrance and within the assessment district hereinafter referred to as being created for the improvements of said Arlington Avenue and said Carson Street.

That heretofore and on or about May 2, 1922, the board of trustees by a resolution duly given and made, declared their intention to improve said Arlington Avenue and said Carson Street according to certain plans and specifications prepared thereto, which specified that the paving substance to be used upon said respective streets to be that certain paving process and substance known and specified as Willite.

That thereafter such proceedings were duly had that a contract for paving of said property was awarded under the provisions of resolutions 73, 74, 75, 76, 77 and 78, to said Los Angeles Paving Company, defendant herein and passed by the Board of Directors of said municipal corporation.

That in all notices and resolutions and advertisements inviting bids it was specified that said paving should be done with Willite and the same is a patented or copyrighted composition owned and controlled by the Willite Company, a corporation, and the plaintiff is informed and believes that said substance was specified for the making of said pavements with the purpose and intent that competition in bidding would thus be prevented and the contract could be obtained by the Los Angeles Paving Co. at a price over and above what would be reasonable compensation for the work done and the material furnished in making said pavements and that your petitioner is informed and believes and upon such information and belief so alleges the fact to be that said Willite is an inferior article and that many other contractors would have been glad to have bid thereon providing they could have complied with the conditions of the plans and specifications therein and used the substance therein required.

And therefore resolutions 83 and 84 were duly adopted by said Board of Trustees approving the contracts let for the paving of said Arlington Avenue and said Carson Street.

That thereafter the party to whom said contract was let entered upon the said respective streets, to-wit: Arlington Avenue and said Carson Street and proceeded to pave the same with Willite paving according to the plans and specifications adopted theretofore by the Board of Directors of said municipal corporation.

That in the meantime and at the proper period in said proceedings, the said Board of Directors of said municipal corporation, acting through the said superintendent of streets, defined and delineated upon a map thereof the exterior boundaries of the assessment district upon which the cost of making said improvements were to become a lien.

That all of said proceedings were taken under and pursuant to an act of the legislature known as the Improvement Act of 1911, approved April 7, 1911, Statutes 1911, page 730 and the respective amendments thereof and the securities sought to be issued and the liens imposed thereunder are sought to be imposed and issued under the improvement bond act of 1915 and the amendments thereof; said act was approved June 11, 1915.

provement act of 1911, it was necessary that the same be patched and repaired before said work would be accepted by the street superintendent and that thereafter and before the beginning of this action and through some understanding for the repairs thereof and representations made in regard thereto, the said holders of said contract induced the superintendent of streets to make an assessment to cover the amount due to the contractor for the work done by him specified in Section 21 of said improvement act and thereafter after the said superintendent of streets issued to the contractors in said respective districts their improvements of said streets, warrants of assessment provided for in Section 22 of said improvement act.

That at the time of the issuance of said warrant of assessment the said superintendent of streets and the other individual defendants herein, officers of said city of Torrance, well knew that the work done and the material furnished did not put the said streets in a condition to be accepted as public highways in the city of Torrance in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract with the specifications used in connection therewith.

That nevertheless demands were made by the said contractors under said improvement act and the said warrant of assessment mentioned in Section 23 of said improvement act were recorded by said City Engineer and Superintendent of streets, J. J. Jessup, and became a lien upon the lands of the respective property owners within such assessment district as delineated in the map prepared by said Superintendent of streets. That the said Superintendent of streets is also the said City Engineer of said city of Torrance.

That thereafter and upon the return of said warrant as aforesaid, the said contractors duly applied to the said Board of Trustees of the said city of Torrance that notices be given for a hearing upon the return of said warrant diagram and assessment and each of said contracts and that notices be given that serial bonds to represent unpaid assessments and bearing interest at the rate of seven per cent per annum would be issued thereon in the manner provided by the Improvement Bond Act of 1915 and notices were thereupon, by the City Clerk, A. H. Bartlett, that such hearing would be had covering the improvement of Arlington Avenue and Carson Street and that such hearing would be held at 3:00 o'clock p. m. on February 6, 1922, at the Council Chamber of the board of trustees of said city of Torrance in the City Hall in said city at the hour of 3:00 P. M. on said date.

That at said time and place your petitioner, with other property owners, appeared before the said board of Trustees and a hearing was then and there had and evidence taken thereon and by such evidence it was made to and did appear that at the time of the acceptance by said board of trustees and the approval thereof by the city council, the city engineer and the other officers of the city of Torrance herein mentioned, each and every one of them, then well knew that the work done and the improvements made by the said contractor were of an inferior materials, patched and full of cracks and would not stand the traffic to which said highways are subjected and that in a short time it would be necessary to again pave said streets and each of them or to resurface said streets and that said work so done by the contractors was of a character which deteriorated so rapidly that it was not of any value as a public improvement in said city of Torrance.

That nevertheless the said board of trustees continued the said hearing for two weeks, to-wit: the 20th of February, 1922, at the hour of 3 p. m. at the same place and plaintiff alleges that unless the said city of Torrance and its respective officers are restrained and enjoined from proceeding therewith that they will make an order therefore and will cause bonds to be issued covering the said improvements and becoming a lien upon your petitioner, the plaintiff herein, and the other property owners in said respective district and said inferior pavements will immediately become a charge upon the City of Torrance and upon the property holders thereof and the cost and expense of making said improvements will also become a lien upon the property of your said petitioner and the other property owners in said assessment district will not only be required to pay the original cost of making such improvements but also will be required to pay general taxes for the purposes of repaving said streets and repairing such inferior pavements and that your petitioner and the other property owners, by reason of the orders and resolutions of said superintendent and said city engineer and said board of trustees of said city of Torrance will suffer great and irreparable injuries and damages and will have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law therein.

That it is necessary that the respective assessments made under Section 21 of said Improvement Act of 1911 be annulled vacated and set aside and that the warrant as provided for in Section 22 covering the improvements on Arlington Avenue and also upon Carson Street be vacated, annulled and set aside and that the acceptance of said work by the said superintendent of streets and said City Engineer be annulled, vacated and set aside as well as the return of the contract provided for in Section 25 of said improvement act of 1911 and that the said board of trustees, the city of Torrance itself and the officers thereof made defendant herein and each and every one of them be enjoined and debarred from further proceedings for the collection of the moneys due to the contractors for such alleged improvements until such time as the said contractor shall have completed such contracts in accordance with the plans and specifications therein and resurfaced the said pavements therein provided for in the manner specified in said plans and specifications.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that the Court issue as against the defendants herein, an order to show cause and also after the showing of cause a preliminary writ of injunction, requiring the defendants and each of them to be and appear before the court at a time and place fixed therein, to show cause why the defendants and each and everyone of them and the City of Torrance should not be perpetually enjoined and restrained from issuing to the contractors the warrant of assessment assessment bonds to which they might become entitled under said contract until such time as the said respective streets shall have been paved according to the plans and specifications therein and until such time as such paving shall be in a condition to furnish to the said city of Torrance good and substantial paved highways along such respective streets and that said plaintiff also have such other and further relief as to the court may seem meet in the premises and that he have and recover his costs herein.

CLASSIFIED ADS

Per Word (each issue)-----2c
Readers, per word-----2c

FOR SALE
8-room 2-story house; one of the most attractive homes in Torrance. 1/4 acre in 4076 Tract. Very near production; not leased. \$4250.

FOR LEASE
Six new store rooms.
BABCOCK & JONES
Auditorium Bldg. Torrance
Phone 133-J

OIL OIL OIL
Large lots between two test wells and not far from Torrance field. Several other wells to start in this section soon. Buy before they drill. You can't buy after.
Lots 50x150, \$450. \$50 down and \$10 per month.

REDONDO VILLA TRACT B.
J. D. MILLAR REALTY CO.
M. T. Zuser, Agent.

TRACT OFFICE:
Cor. Center and Redondo-Inglewood Blvd.

If you wish our car to call for you, to see these lots, phone Torrance 109-W for appointment.

WANTED—From owner, equity in lot or a house in Torrance. Box 541, Torrance. F-23-11-pd.

FOR SALE—Leased and unleased lots in line with oil development. P. G. Smedecor, 107 First National Bank Bldg., Torrance. F-23-11

LIST YOUR PROPERTY with me for quick action. P. G. Smedecor, 107 First National Bank Bldg. F-23

FOR SALE—One acre on Beacon Street, \$5000 terms. One acre on Acadia Street, just off Narbonne, south front, priced to sell, terms. W. A. TEAGARDEN, 1162 Narbonne Ave. 7-23

FOR SALE—Photograph end 60 records, \$30, A-1 condition. 1854 W. 219th St., Torrance. F-23-11-pd

FOR SALE—\$ large lots in the Hammon tract. Also one acre drilling site. Owner N. E. VOORHEIS, 441 W. 37th St., L. A. Ph. So. 5130 F-23-pd

OUR connections in Long Beach, Los Angeles and Redondo, enables us to give you quick action on your property. For results bring or mail your listings to this office. H. A. TREADWELL, Lobby El Prado Apartments. Phone 46-W. F-2-4t

FOR SALE—Chicken house 85 feet long, wagon, cook stove, anything I have, 1630 Narbonne Ave., Lomita. F-23-11-pd.

FOR SALE—By owner, 1/2 acre in Lomita, \$1500, terms. P. O. Box 152. F-23-11-pd.

FOR SALE—Beautiful reed baby buggy, good condition. Also a play pen for babe. At 1926 Arlington. F-23-11-pd.

FOR SALE—Get your order in now for White Leghorn hatching eggs and baby chicks; good laying strain. Carr Poultry Ranch, 1634 Narbonne av., Lomita. D-29-tf

FOR RENT

FOR RENT—5-room house, cor. Normandie and Forbes Sts. Gas, electric lights, etc. Inquire at premises. F-23-pd.

WANTED

WANTED—Wanted, young rabbits and Poultry of all kinds. R. H. Trunnell, 1428 Oak St., Lomita.—tf N-24-tf

FOR SALE—A light Team. Farm implements of all kinds. Cash or terms. 1631 Eshleman & Miller. F-9-31-pd.

WANTED—Salesman: must be live wire, with wide acquaintance. Real proposition for right man. Dobson Motor Co., 804 E. Anaheim, Wilmington, Calif. Phone 304-W. F-16-3t

WANTED—Real Estate. List your properties with the Neill Realty Company. S-29-tf

IMPROVE YOUR VACANT LOT by securing a loan in the Anchor Bldg. & Loan Assn. 347 American Ave., Long Beach. F-23-tf

WANTED—To buy for cash, bargains in houses, building lots and acres in Torrance. Babcock & Jones. Phone 133-J, Auditorium Bldg., Torrance, Calif. J-23-tf

WANTED—To rent within three weeks, a comfortable furnished home. Address Box 777, Torrance F. O. F-23-11-pd.

WANTED—To trade incubator for hens or pigs. 1455 South Narbonne. F-23-2t-pd.

MISCELLANEOUS

MONEY TO LOAN
We have money to loan at all times for building purposes. No red tape. Anchor Building & Loan Assn., 347 American Ave., Long Beach. F-23-tf

BUILD YOUR HOME
We will help you. Anchor Bldg. & Loan Assn., 347 American Ave., Long Beach. F-23-tf

MONEY TO LOAN—For building homes. See Anchor Building & Loan Assn., 347 American Avenue, Long Beach. F-23-tf

MONEY TO LOAN—On Lomita real estate. See L. J. Hunter. F-9-tf

The time has long gone by when a fellow could finance a best girl flapper on a lemonade treat basis.



I. The unprecedented demand for Ford Cars throughout the winter months has taken the entire output of the Ford plants working at capacity, indicating that the demand this Spring will be far in excess of the number of Ford Cars that can possibly be built.

II. January was the tenth consecutive month in which retail deliveries exceeded 100,000 Ford Cars and Trucks. Requirements for February, the month when preparations are already under way for Spring business, called for 147,407 Cars and Trucks—more than 24,000 in excess of the number we can possibly produce

III. Ford dealers in many parts of the country are already finding it necessary to specify future delivery dates on Ford Products because there are no reserve stocks to draw from.

IV. Your order placed now will protect you against delay or disappointment later on—It is the only way you can be assured of reasonably prompt delivery.

We consider it important to give you these facts, so that if you are planning to purchase a Ford Car, Truck or Fordson Tractor for use this Spring of Summer you can list your order at once and take advantage of our dealer's first opportunity to make delivery.

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Detroit, Michigan

Schultz, Peckham & Schultz

Authorized Torrance Ford Dealer

A Small Deposit and Easy Payments if Desired